

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,424	09/24/2003	Frank Berendes	CH-7929/LeA 36,206	5072
34947	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
LANXESS CORPORATION			RAHMANI, NILOOFAR	
111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1625	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/669,424	BERENDES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Niloofar Rahmani	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 S</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/669,424

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10-16 are pending and claims 1-9, and 17-18 are cancelled.

2. Priority

This application is filed on 09/24/2003, which claims the priority of GERMANY 10244811.6, filed on 09/26/2002.

3. 103(a) rejection of claims 10-16 over WO 2004/024708 is maintained for reason of record. Applicant's argument of 5-member heteroaryl ring of prior art instead of 6-10 member heteroaryl ring are inconclusive. Without a showing to the contrary, the ring being 5 or 6 or 10 membered, does not contribute to the reaction (as shown by applicants own examples). Therefore, there is no difference between the prior art 5-membered rings and the instantly clamed 6-10 membered rings.

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected because the term "convert" is confusing.

There are no steps to convert formula (IV) to formula (VI) further. Essential steps such as converting from formula I to II are missing. Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

5. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for 6- membered rings, does not reasonably provide enablement for 7-10 membered rings. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to 7-10 membered rings the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no example in the specification for 7-10 membered rings. All the examples are for 6-membered rings.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling W being C(O)YR¹, Y being N, does not reasonably provide enablement for W being CN. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to W being CN the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no example in the specification for W being CN. All the examples are for W being C(O)YR¹ while Y being N or W being W C(O)YR¹ while Y being O.

6. Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1625

If applicants clear up the other rejections, claims 10-16 would be allowable when W being C(O)YR¹, Y being N.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niloofar Rahmani whose telephone number is 571-272-4329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NILOOFAR RAHMANI 04/13/2006

NR

D.MARGARET SEAMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1625

Super attent Examiner